arXiv:1304.2251v1 [math.AG] 8 Apr 2013

Balancing conditions in global tropical geometry

Tony Yue YU [*]

April 9, 2013

We study tropical geometry in the global setting using the deformation retraction of a non-Archimedean analytic space to its skeleton constructed by V. Berkovich. We state and prove the generalized balancing conditions in this setting. Starting with a strictly stable formal scheme, we calculate certain sheaves of vanishing cycles using analytic étale cohomology, then interpret tropical weights by these cycles. We obtain the balancing condition for tropical curves on the skeleton associated to the formal scheme in terms of the intersection theory on the special fibre. Our approach works over any complete discrete valuation field.

1 Introduction and statement of result

Usually, tropical geometry is studied in the setting of toric varieties (see for example [Mik06]). By definition, a toric variety contains an open dense torus $\mathbb{G}_m^n$. Assume that our torus $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ is defined over a non-Archimedean field k with a discrete valuation, and consider the map

$$\mathbb{G}_m^n(k) \simeq (k^\times)^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\mathrm{val}(x_1), \dots, \mathrm{val}(x_n)).$$

This map can be extended to the whole Berkovich spectrum $\mathbb{G}_m^{n,an}$ and we get a continuous map $\tau : \mathbb{G}_m^{n,an} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. The map τ is proper and surjective if the valuation on k is non-trivial.

Now let C be an analytic curve embedded in $\mathbb{G}_m^{n,an}$. Traditionally, the tropicalization of C is by definition the image $\tau(C) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which we denote by C^t. The tropical curve C^t has the structure of a balanced metrized graph, and the philosophy of tropical geometry is to study curves in algebraic varieties in terms of such combinatorial gadgets.

Let us recall what it means for the tropical curve C^t to be balanced. To each edge e of C^t with a chosen orientation, we can associate an element $\widetilde{w}_e \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, parallel to the direction of e inside $\mathbb{R}^n$. We call $\tilde{w}_e$ the tropical weight of e. Then the balancing condition states that for any vertex $v \in C^t$, we have $\sum_{e \ni v} \widetilde{w}_e = 0$, where the sum is taken over all edges that contain v as an endpoint, and the orientation of each edge is chosen to be the one that points away from v.

---

[*] École normale supérieure Paris, France. E-mail address: `yue.yu@ens.fr`

In order to go beyond the toric case above, we borrow the formalism of polystable fibrations developed in [Ber99], which was used to prove local contractibility of smooth Berkovich spaces loc. cit. To simplify the exposition, we will only consider strictly stable formal schemes (see Definition 2.2). Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a strictly stable formal scheme over the ring of integers k°. Its generic fibre $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is a Berkovich space over k and its special fibre $\mathfrak{X}_s$ is a scheme over the residue field $\widetilde{k}$. Following [Ber99], one can construct a simplicial complex $S_\mathfrak{X}$ in terms of the stratification of $\mathfrak{X}_s$, called the skeleton of $\mathfrak{X}$. The key point is that we have an inclusion map $\theta : S_\mathfrak{X} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$, and a continuous, proper, surjective retraction map $\tau : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to S_\mathfrak{X}$. This is a globalization of the traditional picture in the previous paragraphs. Now in parallel, let C be a compact quasi-smooth[1][2] k-analytic curve, and let $f : C \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ be a k-analytic morphism. We call the image $(\tau \circ f)(C) \subset S_\mathfrak{X}$ the tropical curve, and denote it again by C^t. By working locally, one can show as in the toric case that C^t is a polytope embedded in $S_\mathfrak{X}$ of dimension less than or equal to one. However, the balancing condition for the tropical curve C^t is no longer obvious in the global setting. More precisely, we ask the following question.

Question Let v be a vertex of the tropical curve C^t. What are the constraints on the shape of C^t near the vertex v?

We give a necessary condition in terms of the intersection theory on the special fibre $\mathfrak{X}_s$. When $\mathfrak{X}$ is a strictly stable formal scheme, $S_\mathfrak{X}$ is a simplicial complex with finitely many vertices, numbered by $0, \dots, N$. Each vertex i corresponds to an irreducible component D_i of the special fibre $\mathfrak{X}_s$. For each face $I \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ in $S_\mathfrak{X}$, we denote by D_I the corresponding stratum in $\mathfrak{X}_s$, i.e. $D_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. Now let v be a vertex of the tropical curve C^t. Suppose that v sits in the interior of a d-dimensional face $I_v = \{i_0, \dots, i_d\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$, and that D_{I_v} is a projective variety. Put

$$J = \left\{\, j \;\middle|\; D_{I_v \cup \{j\}} \neq \emptyset \,\right\}.$$

We will describe an integral linear structure on $S_\mathfrak{X}$ in Section 2, i.e. an integral structure $T_v S_\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset T_v S_\mathfrak{X}$ on the tangent space of $S_\mathfrak{X}$ at v. We will have a natural embedding

$$\mathcal{I} :\ T_v S_\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\right),$$

and we denote by $\mathcal{I}_\mathbb{Q}$ the composition of $\mathcal{I}$ with the inclusion

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

As in the traditional case, to each edge e containing v as an endpoint, we can associate a weight $\widetilde{w}_e \in T_v S_\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Section 5). We denote the sum of weights

$$\sigma = \sum_{e \ni v} \widetilde{w}_e \in T_v S_\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.1}$$

---

[1] See [Duc](3.1.8) for the definition. In particular, a proper smooth k-analytic curve is compact and quasi-smooth.

[2] The smoothness assumption on C is not restrictive because we are considering morphisms from C to $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ rather than embedded curves, and one can always make desingularizations.

Let L_j be the pullback of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D_j)$ to the subscheme D_{I_v}, for every $j \in J$. Let α be the map

$$\alpha : CH_1\left(D_{I_v}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big), \qquad L \longmapsto \big(L \cdot L_j,\ j \in J\big),$$

that takes a one-dimensional cycle L in D_{I_v} to its intersection numbers with the divisors L_j for every $j \in J$.

Our balancing condition is stated in the theorem below. The rest of the article provides a proof of the theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *We use the notations introduced above. Recall we have the assumption that the stratum D_{I_v} is projective. We further assume that the vertex v does not lie in the image of the boundary $(\tau \circ f)(\partial C)$. Then the image $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)$ of the sum of weights σ lies in the image of the map*

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}} := \alpha \otimes \mathbb{Q} : CH_1\left(D_{I_v}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Q}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Q}\big).$$

Example 1.2. Assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is n-dimensional and that the vertex v sits in the interior of an n-dimensional face of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Then D_{I_v} is a point and the map α is zero. Our balancing condition in this case simply states that the sum of weights σ must be zero. So we recover the classical balancing condition in our generalized setting.

Example 1.3. Assume that the vertex v sits on a vertex of the simplicial complex $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$. So the cardinality $|I_v| = 1$ and D_{I_v} is a divisor in $\mathfrak{X}$. Let $J' = J \setminus I_v$. We have $\operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{J'}$ by projection. In this case, our map α is the same as the following map, which is slightly easier to describe:

$$\alpha' : CH_1\left(D_{I_v}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{J'}, \qquad L \longmapsto \big(L \cdot (D_{I_v} \cap D_j),\ \text{for } j \in J'\big).$$

Plan Basic definitions are given in Section 2. In Section 3, we study the geometry of strictly stable formal schemes in terms of vanishing cycles. In Section 5, we explain that the directions of the edges of a tropical curve are homological in nature, and are only related to the "vanishing part" of the first degree cohomology of the generic fibre. In Section 4, we establish an important technical step that allows us to localize our calculation of vanishing cycles to a small domain inside the skeleton. In Section 6, we prove a weaker form of our balancing conditions in terms of étale cohomology. The key ingredient is the long exact sequence relating nearby cycles with vanishing cycles. In Section 7, we explain how to use standard arguments in algebraic geometry to obtain the stronger balancing condition (Theorem 1.1) which is stated in terms of algebraic cycles.

Acknowledgement I am very grateful to Maxim Kontsevich for inspiring discussions, from which this article originates. Discussions with Bernhard Keller, Antoine Ducros, Pierrick Bousseau, and Jean-François Dat are equally very essential and useful.

2 Strictly stable formal schemes and skeleta

In this article, k always denotes a non-Archimedean field with a discrete[3] valuation. Let k° be the ring of integers of k, $k^{\circ\circ}$ the maximal ideal of k°, and $\widetilde{k}$ the residue field. The symbol l always denotes a prime number invertible in the residue field $\widetilde{k}$.

For $n \geq 1$, $0 \leq d' \leq n$ and $a \in k^{\circ\circ} \setminus 0$, put

$$\mathfrak{S}(n, d', a) = \operatorname{Spf}\left(k^\circ\{T_0, \dots, T_{d'}, S^{\pm}_{d'+1}, \dots, S^{\pm}_n\}/(T_0 \cdots T_{d'} - a)\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.1. A formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over k° is said to be *finitely presented* if it is a finite union of open affine subschemes of the form

$$\operatorname{Spf}\left(k^\circ\{T_0, \dots, T_n\}/(f_1, \dots, f_m)\right).$$

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a formal scheme finitely presented over k°. $\mathfrak{X}$ is said to be *strictly stable* if every point x of $\mathfrak{X}$ has an open affine neighbourhood $\mathfrak{U}$ such that the structural morphism $\mathfrak{U} \to \operatorname{Spf} k^\circ$ factorizes through an étale morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ for some $0 \leq d' \leq n$ and $a \in k^{\circ\circ} \setminus 0$.

Recall that for a formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ finitely presented over k°, its special fibre $\mathfrak{X}_s$ is a scheme of finite type over $\widetilde{k}$, and its generic fibre $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is a compact strictly k-analytic space. When $\mathfrak{X}$ is polystable in the sense of [Ber99], one can construct a polysimplicial set $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{X}_s)$, whose topological realization is by definition the skeleton $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$. In our simplified situation, i.e. when $\mathfrak{X}$ is strictly stable, the skeleton $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ has a simple description as a simplicial complex which we give below.

Let $\{ D_i \mid i = 0, \dots, N \}$ be the set of irreducible components of the special fibre $\mathfrak{X}_s$. For any non-empty subset $I \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$, let $D_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. The general constructions in [Ber99] imply the following three lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *The skeleton $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is the finite simplicial sub-complex of the simplex $\Delta^{\{0,\dots,N\}}$ such that for any $I \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$, Δ^I is a face of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ if and only if $D_I \neq \emptyset$.*

A face $I \subset \{ 0, \dots, N \}$ in $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is called *maximal* if it does not belong to another face of higher dimension. Let I be a maximal face of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ of dimension d'. By Definition 2.2, there exists an affine open subscheme $\mathfrak{U}$ in $\mathfrak{X}$ such that the structural morphism $\mathfrak{U} \to \operatorname{Spf} k^\circ$ factorizes through an étale morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in k^{\circ\circ} \setminus 0$, and that $D_I \cap \mathfrak{U}_s$ is given by the equations $T_0 = \cdots = T_{d'} = 0$. We denote this element $a \in k^{\circ\circ} \setminus 0$ by a_I.

Lemma 2.4. *Although a_I is not uniquely determined by I, its valuation* $\operatorname{val}(a_I)$ *does not depend on the choice of the open affine subscheme $\mathfrak{U}$ or the étale morphism ϕ.*

---

[3] We assume the valuation to be discrete because the theory of special formal schemes in the non-discrete valued case is not yet studied in the literature. It is not clear whether one can drop this assumption.

Let

$$S_I = \left\{ \sum_{i\in I} r_i \mathbf{e}_i \;\middle|\; r_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \sum_{i\in I} r_i = \operatorname{val}(a_I) \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1},$$

where $\mathbf{e}_0, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N$ is regarded as the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$.

Lemma 2.5. *The skeleton $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ can be identified with the union of the simplexes S_I over all maximal faces $I \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$. Thus we obtain an embedding of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$.*

This embedding equips $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ with an integral linear structure. Concretely, we mean the following. Let v be a point in $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$, and let F be a face of dimension d' of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ containing v. Let V_F^a be the smallest affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ containing F, and let $V_F \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ be the linear subspace parallel to V_F^a. The subspace V_F is equipped with an integral lattice $V_F(\mathbb{Z})$ of rank d' induced by the ambient lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. Let $T_v S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (resp. $T_v S_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{Z})$) be the subspace (resp. sub-lattice) generated by the union of V_F (resp. $V_F(\mathbb{Z})$) over all faces F of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ containing v. We call $T_v S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the tangent space of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ at v.

Assume that v sits in the interior of a d-dimensional face $I_v \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$. Put

$$J = \left\{ j \;\middle|\; D_{I_v \cup \{j\}} \neq \emptyset \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The description above gives a natural embedding

$$\mathcal{I} : T_v S_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big). \tag{2.3}$$

3 Calculation of vanishing cycles

For any space X, we denote by $X^{\sim}_{\text{ét}}$ the category of étale sheaves on X whenever it makes sense. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/l^\nu\mathbb{Z}$ for any positive integer ν. We denote by Λ_X the constant sheaf on X with values in Λ. Let k^s be the separable closure of k, $\widehat{k^s}$ its completion, and $\widetilde{k^s}$ its residue field. For any scheme X defined over $\operatorname{Spec}\widetilde{k}$, we denote $\overline{X} = X \times \widetilde{k^s}$. For any k-analytic space X, we denote $\overline{X} = X \times \widehat{k^s}$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a strictly stable formal scheme over k°. Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\eta}}$) denote the special (resp. generic) fibre of the formal scheme $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} := \mathfrak{X} \,\widehat{\otimes}_{k^\circ}\, (\widehat{k^s})^\circ$ over $(\widehat{k^s})^\circ$. In [Ber94], Berkovich constructed two functors $\Theta : \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}{}^{\sim}_{\text{ét}} \to \mathfrak{X}_{s}{}^{\sim}_{\text{ét}}$ and $\Psi_\eta : \mathfrak{X}_{\eta}{}^{\sim}_{\text{ét}} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}{}^{\sim}_{\text{ét}}$. We call them the specialization functor and the nearby cycles functor[4] respectively. We denote by $R\Theta$ and $R\Psi$ the corresponding derived functors. Our aim in this section is to compute the sheaf of nearby cycles $R\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}$ and the sheaf of vanishing cycles $R\Phi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}$ defined as usual as a cone ([SGA73] XIII 2.1).

The question being local, we only have to study the affine charts $\phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ as in Definition 2.2. The formal scheme $\mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ is the completion of the scheme

$$\operatorname{Spec}\big(k^\circ[T_0, \dots, T_{d'}, S_{d'+1}^{\pm}, \dots, S_n^{\pm}]/(T_0 \cdots T_{d'} - a)\big)$$

---

[4] Our terminology differs from [Ber94], where Ψ is called the vanishing cycles functor loc. cit.

along its special fibre. Therefore, by [Ber94] Corollary 4.5(i) and Corollary 5.3, the calculation is reduced to the case of ordinary schemes.

Proposition 3.1 ([Ill04]). *We have* $R^0\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} = \Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}}$, *an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}} \xrightarrow{diag} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N} \Lambda_{\overline{D}_i} \longrightarrow R^1\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}(1) \to 0,$$

and isomorphisms

$$\Lambda^q R^1\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} \simeq R^q\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}, \qquad \textit{for } q \geq 1.$$

The sheaf of vanishing cycles $R\Phi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}$ is related to the sheaf of nearby cycles $R\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}$ through an exact triangle

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}} \to R\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} \to R\Phi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} \xrightarrow{+1} . \tag{3.1}$$

Let $I \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ be a face of $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $j : \overline{D}_I \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}$ the closed immersion. We assume that $\overline{D}_I$ is a projective variety. Applying j^* to (3.1), we obtain the exact triangle

$$j^*\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}} \to j^*R\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} \to j^*R\Phi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Taking global sections $R\Gamma$, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to R^1\Gamma\left(j^*R\Psi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} R^1\Gamma\left(j^*R\Phi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} R^2\Gamma\big(j^*\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}}\big) \to \cdots , \tag{3.2}$$

where we denote the two arrows above by β^* and α^* respectively.

Corollary 3.2 ([Ill04]). *We have*

$$R^1\Gamma\left(j^*R\Phi\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Coker}\big(\Lambda \xrightarrow{\Delta} \Lambda^J\big)(-1),$$

where Δ *denotes the diagonal map that sends an element* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *to* $(\lambda, \dots, \lambda) \in \Lambda^J$*. Moreover, the map*

$$\alpha^* : \operatorname{Coker}\big(\Lambda \xrightarrow{\Delta} \Lambda^J\big)(-1) \longrightarrow R^2\Gamma\big(j^*\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}}\big) \simeq H^2_{\acute{e}t}\big(\overline{D}_I, \Lambda\big)$$

is induced by the cycle class map in étale cohomology.

4 Deformation of analytic tubes

In [Ber99], Berkovich constructed an inclusion map $\theta : S_{\mathfrak{X}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ and a retraction map $\tau : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to S_{\mathfrak{X}}$. For the formal scheme $\mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ defined as in (2.1), the retraction map is easy to describe. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$S_{\mathfrak{B}} = \left\{ (r_0, \dots, r_{d'}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d'+1} \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=0}^{d'} r_i = \operatorname{val} a \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d'+1}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *The retraction map* $\tau_{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathfrak{B}_\eta \to S_{\mathfrak{B}}$ *takes* $x \in \mathfrak{B}_\eta$ *to the point*

$$(\operatorname{val} T_0(x), \dots, \operatorname{val} T_{d'}(x)) \in S_{\mathfrak{B}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d'+1}.$$

For a general strictly stable formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$, the retraction map $\tau : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is defined by gluing the construction above in the étale topology, which is a difficult task. Our aim in this section is to describe the local geometry of this retraction in terms of nearby cycles. More precisely, let v be a point in $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ sitting in the interior of a d-dimensional face $I_v = \{i_0, \dots, i_d\} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$, and denote by j the closed immersion $\overline{D}_{I_v} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{s}}$. Let

$$V_{I_v}^{s_0 \dots s_d} = S_{\mathfrak{X}} \cap \left\{ (r_0, \dots, r_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \;\middle|\; r_{i_j} \geq s_j \text{ for } j \in \{0, \dots, d\} \right\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $s_0, \dots, s_d \in \operatorname{val} k^{\circ\circ}$. It follow from the definition that

Lemma 4.2. $V_{I_v}^{s_0 \dots s_d} \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if* $s_0 + \dots + s_d \leq \operatorname{val} a_{I_v}$.

We suppose from now on that $V_{I_v}^{s_0 \dots s_d} \neq \emptyset$, and denote by ι the inclusion map $\tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0 \dots s_d}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$.

Theorem 4.3. *We have a quasi isomorphism*

$$j_* j^* R\Psi\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Psi\left(R\iota_* \iota^* \Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right).$$

Proof. By adjunction, we have a morphism $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta} \to R\iota_* \iota^* \Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}$, thus a morphism

$$j_* j^* R\Psi\big(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\big) \xrightarrow{adj} j_* j^* R\Psi\big(R\iota_* \iota^* \Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\big).$$

In order to prove the theorem, we only have to show that

(i) The morphism *adj* is a quasi-isomorphism.

(ii) The sheaf $R\Psi(R\iota_* \iota^* \Lambda_{\mathfrak{X}_\eta})$ is supported on D_{I_v}.

The properties being local, we only have to show them for the formal scheme $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$. We assume that $d \leq d'$ because otherwise it will not have contributions to the stratum D_{I_v}. The special fibre $\mathfrak{B}_s$ is given by the equation $T_0 \cdots T_{d'} = 0$, and we assume that $D_{I_v} \subset \mathfrak{B}_s$ is further cut out by the equations $T_d = \dots = T_{d'} = 0$.

Now pick any elements $a_0, \dots, a_d \in k^{\circ\circ}$ such that $\operatorname{val} a_j = s_j$. Let $a' = a \cdot a_0^{-1} \cdots a_d^{-1}$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a')$. Let $f : \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be the morphism given by the algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} &k^\circ\{T_0, \dots, T_{d'}, S_{d'+1}^{\pm}, \dots, S_n^{\pm}\}/(T_0 \cdots T_{d'} - a) \to \\ &\qquad\qquad k^\circ\{T_0, \dots, T_{d'}, S_{d'+1}^{\pm}, \dots, S_n^{\pm}\}/(T_0 \cdots T_{d'} - a'), \end{aligned}$$

which takes

$$\begin{aligned} T_j &\mapsto a_j T_j && \text{for } j = 0, \dots, d \\ T_j &\mapsto T_j && \text{for } j = d+1, \dots, d' \\ S_j &\mapsto S_j && \text{for } j = d'+1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$Rf_{\eta *}\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\eta} \simeq R\iota_*\iota^*\Lambda_{\mathfrak{B}_\eta}. \tag{4.2}$$

By [Ber94] Corollary 4.5(ii), we have

$$R\Psi\Big(Rf_{\eta *}\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\eta}\Big) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{\overline{s}*}\Big(R\Psi\big(\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\eta}\big)\Big). \tag{4.3}$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$R\Psi\Big(R\iota_*\iota^*\Lambda_{\mathfrak{B}_\eta}\Big) \simeq Rf_{\overline{s}*}\left(R\Psi\big(\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\eta}\big)\right).$$

This shows (ii). Then (i) follows from the calculation of nearby cycles in the last section and the fact that the fibers of $f_{\overline{s}*}$ are all cohomologically trivial. □

Remark 4.4. The geometry behind Theorem 4.3 is that the étale cohomology of our analytic space does not change when we deform certain tubular neighborhoods of the boundary. A scheme theoretic analog of this fact can be found in [Dat12]. We believe that our approach can be adapted to give a better understanding of the result loc. cit.

5 Cohomological interpretation of tropical weights

Let C be a compact quasi-smooth k-analytic curve, and $f : C \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ a k-analytic morphism. The image of C under $\tau \circ f$ is a one-dimensional[5] polytope in the skeleton $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$, called the tropicalization. We denote it by C^t. We call the 0-dimensional faces of C^t vertices and the 1-dimensional faces of C^t edges. We denote by e° the interior of an edge e.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists a subdivision of the edges of the tropical curve C^t such that, if we denote by $\overline{C^t}$ the polytope after the subdivision of edges, then*

(1) For any edge e of $\overline{C^t}$, each connected component of $(\tau\circ f)^{-1}(e^\circ)$ is isomorphic to an open annulus.

(2) For each annulus A as above, there exists an open subscheme $\mathfrak{U}$ in $\mathfrak{X}$, equipped with an étale morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ as in Definition 2.2, such that $f(A)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{U}_\eta$.

Proof. [6] Let us choose affine charts $\mathfrak{U}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{U}_m$ as in Definition 2.2 such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{U}_i = \mathfrak{X}$. Assume that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the structural morphism $\mathfrak{U}_i \to \operatorname{Spf} k^\circ$ factorizes through an étale morphism

$$\phi_i : \mathfrak{U}_i \to \operatorname{Spf}\left(k^\circ\{T_0^{(i)}, \dots, T_{d_i'}^{(i)}, S_{d_i'+1}^{(i)\pm}, \dots, S_{n_i}^{(i)\pm}\}/(T_0^{(i)}\cdots T_{d_i'}^{(i)} - a_i)\right)$$

for some $0 \le d_i' \le n_i$, $a_i \in k^{\circ\circ} \setminus 0$. Let $C_i = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}_{i,\eta})$, $t_{ij} = |T_j^{(i)} \circ \phi_{i,\eta} \circ f_{|C_i}|$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 0, \dots, d_i'$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value of non-Archimedean fields. We have the following fact concerning the variation of holomorphic functions.

---

[5] In degenerate situations, the tropical curve C^t can be zero-dimensional. We are not interested in such cases.

[6] Many thanks to Antoine Ducros for his help with this proof.

Lemma 5.2 ([Duc](4.4.35)). *For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, there exists a finite graph $\Gamma_i \subset C_i$ such that the functions t_{ij} are locally constant over $C_i \backslash \Gamma_i$, and piecewise linear over Γ_i.*

By extending Γ_i, we can assume that it contains the analytic skeleton of C_i. Let Γ be the union $\bigcup \Gamma_i$, $\overline{\Gamma}$ the convex hull of Γ, and $\overline{\Gamma}_i = \overline{\Gamma} \cap C_i$. By [Duc](5.1), we have a strong deformation retraction $r : C \to \overline{\Gamma}$, and $r_{|C_i}$ gives a retraction of C_i onto $\overline{\Gamma}_i$. Let K_i be the set of knot points[7] for the analytically admissible subgraph $\overline{\Gamma}_i \subset C_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and let K be the set of knot points for the analytically admissible subgraph $\overline{\Gamma} \subset C$. Let $P_0 = (\tau \circ f)\big(K \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i\big)$, and let P_1 be the union of the points $p \in C^t$ such that $((\tau \circ f)_{|\overline{\Gamma}})^{-1}(p)$ contains an infinite number of points. The set of points P_0 is finite by [Duc](5.1.12.2). The set of points P_1 is also finite by Lemma 5.2. Therefore, the union $P := P_1 \cup P_2$ is a finite set. Now it suffices to make the subdivision of the edges of our tropical curve C^t by adding the points in P as new vertices. Indeed, for each edge e of the subdivided tropical curve $\overline{C^t}$, $\overline{\Gamma} \cap (\tau \circ f)^{-1}(e^\circ)$ is a finite disjoint union of open segments in $\overline{\Gamma}$. Any such segment s is by construction contained in a certain $\overline{\Gamma}_i$. By [Duc](5.1.12.3), $r^{-1}(s)$ is isomorphic to an open annulus, which we denote by A. By Lemma 5.2, we have $f_{|\overline{\Gamma}} \circ r_{|A} = \tau \circ f_{|A}$. So we have proved the first assertion of our proposition. Moreover, $s \subset \overline{\Gamma}_i$ implies that $A_i := (r_{|C_i})^{-1}(s)$ is an open annulus inside C_i. We have an inclusion of two open annuli $A_i \subset A$, and both of them retract to the same segment s. Therefore we have $A_i = A$, and $f(A) = f(A_i) \subset \mathfrak{U}_{i,\eta}$. So we have proved the second assertion as well. □

From now on, we assume that our tropical curve C^t is already subdivided according to Proposition 5.1, i.e. $C^t = \overline{C^t}$. For each edge e of C^t, we choose an orientation and equip the oriented edge e with a weight[8] $\widetilde{w}_e \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$ as follows:

Recall that $N + 1$ is the number of irreducible components of the special fibre $\mathfrak{X}_s$. We fix an index $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and describe the i^{th} component $\widetilde{w}_e^i$. Let A be a connected component of $(\tau \circ f)^{-1}(e^\circ)$. We assume that $\mathfrak{U}$ is an open affine subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}$ equipped with an étale morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ as in Definition 2.2, and that $f(A)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{U}_\eta$. Let $I_\mathfrak{U} \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$ be the face of $S_\mathfrak{X}$ corresponding to the simplex $\tau(\mathfrak{U}_\eta)$. We assume for simplicity that $I_\mathfrak{U} = \{0, \dots, d'\}$. We choose a coordinate z on A such that A is given by $c_1 < |z| < c_2$ for some $c_1, c_2 \in |k|$. We require that when $|z|$ increases, $(\tau \circ f)(z)$ moves along the direction specified by the orientation of the edge e. We assume that under the map ϕ, the divisors $D_0, \dots, D_{d'}$ are given by the equations $T_0 = 0, \dots, T_{d'} = 0$ respectively. For $i \in \{0, \dots, d'\}$, let π_i denote the map

$$\mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)_\eta \to A'_i := \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{Berk}}(k\{T_i, T'\}/(T_i \cdot T' - a))$$

given by the algebra homomorphism

$$k\{T_i, T'\}/(T_i \cdot T' - a) \to k\{T_0, \dots, T_{d'}, S^{\pm}_{d'+1}, \dots, S^{\pm}_n\}/(T_0 \cdots T_{d'} - a),$$

---

[7] The notion of knot points is defined in [Duc](5.1.12) for an analytically admissible and locally finite subgraph inside a generically quasi-smooth k-analytic curve.

[8] If we reverse the orientation, the weight is multiplied by -1.

which takes

$$T_i \mapsto T_i$$
$$T' \mapsto T_0 \cdots \widehat{T_i} \cdots T_{d'},$$

where the symbol $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{Berk}}$ denotes the Berkovich spectrum of an k-affinoid algebra.

Let $f_i = \pi_i \circ \phi_\eta \circ f_{|A} : A \to A'_i$, and $f_i^* : H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\overline{A'_i}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\overline{A}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big)$. We set $\widetilde{w}_e^{A,i} = f_i^*(1) \in \mathbb{Q}_l$, and let $\widetilde{w}_e^i$ be the sum of the $\widetilde{w}_e^{A,i}$ over each open annulus A occurring as a connected component of $(\tau \circ f)^{-1}(e^\circ)$. For $i \notin \{0, \dots, d'\}$, we set $\widetilde{w}_e^i = 0$. As a result, we obtain an element $\widetilde{w}_e \in \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}$, and we call it the *tropical weight* associated to the oriented edge e.

Proposition 5.3. *The weight $\widetilde{w}_e$ is parallel to the direction of the edge e sitting inside $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$.*

Proof. This is a local computation. Let A be an annulus as in Proposition 5.1 given by $c_1 < |z| < c_2$, and let f_i be as in the definition of tropical weights. Suppose $f_i = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{i,m} z^m$ with $f_{i,m} \in k$. By [Ber93] Lemma 6.2.2, there exists m_i with $|f_{i,m_i}| r^{m_i} > |f_{i,m}| r^m$ for all $m \neq m_i$, $c_1 < r < c_2$. Therefore, for $i = 0, \dots, d'$, $c_1 < |z| < c_2$, we have

$$\mathrm{val}(f_i(z)) = \mathrm{val}(f_{i,m_i}) + m_i \cdot val(z).$$

This means that $(m_0, \dots, m_{d'}, 0, \dots, 0)$ is exactly the direction of the edge e sitting in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. We conclude our proof by observing that m_i is also the winding number in terms of étale cohomology (See [Ber93] Lemma 6.2.5). ☐

Corollary 5.4. *The tropical weight $\widetilde{w}_e^i \in \mathbb{Q}_l$ is in fact an integer.*

Remark 5.5. Assume that the interior e° of the edge e is contained in the interior of a d_e-dimensional face $I_e \subset \{0, \dots, N\}$. By Proposition 5.3, the weight $\widetilde{w}_e$ is an element in $\mathbb{Z}^{I_e}$. It follows from the cohomology of the k-analytic space $\mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)_\eta$ that $\widetilde{w}_e$ actually lives in $\mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^{I_e} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big)$. Suppose the vertex v is an endpoint of the edge e. By definition we have $I_e \subset J$ (see (2.2)), so $\widetilde{w}_e$ can also be seen as an element in $\mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{w}_e$ is an integral tangent vector in the tangent space $T_v S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ by the embedding (2.3).

The next step is to relate the tropical weights to vanishing cycles. Since our tropical weights are defined using étale cohomology with $\mathbb{Q}_l$-coefficients, we shall take the inverse limit over ν for all our reasonings in Sections 3 and 4. By abuse of notation, we will just replace Λ by $\mathbb{Q}_l$, although it should be understood that the inverse limit is taken in the last step rather than from the beginning.

Let v, I_v, $V_{I_v}^{s_0 \dots s_d}$, j be as in Section 4. We suppose that v is an endpoint of the edge e. Let A be an annulus as in Proposition 5.1 given by $c_1 < |z| < c_2$, and $\mathfrak{U}$ the open affine subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}$ used in the definition of tropical weights. We assume that $f(A) \subset \tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0 \dots s_d})$. By Theorem 4.3, we have

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0 \dots s_d}) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \simeq R^1\Gamma\big(j^* R\Psi \mathbb{Q}_{l, \mathfrak{X}_\eta}\big).$$

By Remark 5.5, the weight $\widetilde{w}_e^A$ is an element in $\operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big)$, so it can be seen as an element in $\operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Q}_l^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Q}_l\big)$ by the natural inclusion $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbb{Q}_l^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{w}_e^A$ gives a map $\operatorname{Coker}\big(\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Q}^J\big) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l$, which we denote again by $\widetilde{w}_e^A$.

Proposition 5.6. *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R^1\Gamma\left(j^* R\Psi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \arrow[r, "\beta^*"] \arrow[d, "\sim"] & R^1\Gamma\left(j^* R\Phi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \operatorname{Coker}\big(\mathbb{Q}_l \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Q}_l^J\big)(-1) \arrow[d, "\widetilde{w}_e^A"] \\
H^1_{\acute{e}t}\big(\tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0\dots s_d}) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \arrow[r, "f^*_{|A}"] & H^1_{\acute{e}t}\big(\overline{A}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathbb{Q}_l(-1).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be the open affine subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}$ that was used in the definition of tropical weights, and let $\phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{S}(n, d', a)$ be the étale morphism. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{U}} : \mathfrak{U}_\eta \to \mathfrak{U}_s$, $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathfrak{B}_\eta \to \mathfrak{B}_s$ be the reduction maps (see [Ber94] for the definition).

Lemma 5.7. *There exists a closed point $p \in \mathfrak{U}_s$ such that $f(A) \subset \pi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{-1}(p)$.*

Proof. Consider the composition $\phi_\eta \circ f_{|A} : A \to \mathfrak{B}_\eta$. In terms of affinoid algebra, it is given by n power series:

$$T_i \mapsto \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} f_{i,m} z^m \qquad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, d'$$

$$S_i \mapsto \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} f_{i,m} z^m \qquad \text{for } i = d'+1, \dots, n$$

From the proof of Proposition 5.3, for any $i = 0, \dots, n$, $c_1 < r < c_2$, $m \neq \widetilde{w}_e^{A,i}$, we have

$$\left| f_{i,\widetilde{w}_e^{A,i}} \right| r^{\widetilde{w}_e^{A,i}} > |f_{i,m}| r^m.$$

Therefore, if $\widetilde{w}_e^{A,i} = 0$, then the i^{th}-coordinate of all the points in $(\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \phi_\eta \circ f)(A)$ is $\overline{f}_{i,0}$, where $\overline{f}_{i,0}$ denotes the image of $f_{i,0}$ in the residue field $\widetilde{k}$. If $\widetilde{w}_e^{A,i} \neq 0$, then the i^{th}-coordinate of all the points in $(\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \phi_\eta \circ f)(A)$ is zero. This shows that the image $(\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \phi_\eta \circ f)(A)$ is a $\widetilde{k}$-rational point in $\mathfrak{B}_s$, which we denote by $p_{\mathfrak{B}}$. By the commutativity

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \phi_\eta = \phi_s \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{U}} : \mathfrak{U}_\eta \to \mathfrak{B}_s,$$

we have

$$(\pi_{\mathfrak{U}} \circ f)(A) \subset \phi_s^{-1}(p_{\mathfrak{B}}).$$

Since ϕ_s is étale, $\phi_s^{-1}(p_{\mathfrak{B}})$ is discrete. Then by the connectedness of the annulus A, there exists a point $p \in \phi_s^{-1}(p_{\mathfrak{B}})$ such that $(\pi_{\mathfrak{U}} \circ f)(A) = p$. □

We assume that the interior of the edge e is contained in the interior of a d^e-dimensional face $I_e \subset I_{\mathfrak{U}} = \{0, \dots, d'\}$. Let j_p denote the inclusion $p \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{U}_{\overline{s}}$. By the description of the point p in the proof of Lemma 5.7, we have

$$R^1\Gamma\big(j_p^* R\Psi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1\Gamma\big(j_p^* R\Phi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Coker}\big(\mathbb{Q}_l \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Q}_l^{I_e}\big)(-1). \qquad (5.1)$$

Lemma 5.8. *We have an isomorphism*

$$R^1\Gamma\left(j_p^* R\Psi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{ét}\Big(\big(\tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0\dots s_d}) \cap \pi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{-1}(p)\big) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l\Big). \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. By [Ber96], we have

$$R^1\Gamma\left(j_p^* R\Psi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\pi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{-1}(p) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big). \tag{5.3}$$

Since $\phi : \mathfrak{U} \to \mathfrak{B}$ is étale, and ϕ_s induces an isomorphism between the point p and the point $p_{\mathfrak{B}} = \phi_s(p)$, ϕ_η induces an isomorphism between $\pi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{-1}(p)$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}^{-1}(p_{\mathfrak{B}})$ ([Ber99] Lemma 4.4). Now $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}^{-1}(p_{\mathfrak{B}})$ is very easy to describe. The coordinate ring of the k-analytic space $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}^{-1}(p_{\mathfrak{B}})$ has the form

$$k^\circ[[T_0, \dots, T_{d^e}, S_{d^e+1}, \dots, S_n]]/(T_0 \cdots T_{d^e} - a').$$

So the same trick as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 gives an isomorphism

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}\Big(\big(\tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0\dots s_d}) \cap \pi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{-1}(p)\big) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l\Big) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\text{ét}}\Big(\pi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{-1}(p) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l\Big). \tag{5.4}$$

Our lemma follows from (5.3) and (5.4). ☐

In order to simplify notation, let us temporarily denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{V} &= \tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0\dots s_d}) \times \widehat{k^s},\\
\widetilde{V}_p &= \big(\tau^{-1}(V_{I_v}^{s_0\dots s_d}) \cap \pi_{\mathfrak{U}}^{-1}(p)\big) \times \widehat{k^s},\\
\mathbb{Q}_l^{[I_e]} &= \operatorname{Coker}\big(\mathbb{Q}_l \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Q}_l^{I_e}\big),\\
\mathbb{Q}_l^{[J]} &= \operatorname{Coker}\big(\mathbb{Q}_l \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Q}_l^{J}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we have isomorphisms

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\widetilde{V}_p, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \xleftarrow{\sim} R^1\Gamma\big(j_p^* R\Psi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1\Gamma\big(j_p^* R\Phi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_l^{[I_e]}(-1).$$

Then the following commutative diagram follows from the definition of tropical weights.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R^1\Gamma\big(j_p^* R\Psi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\big) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\sim"] & R^1\Gamma\big(j_p^* R\Phi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\big) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathbb{Q}_l^{[I_e]}(-1) \arrow[d, "\widetilde{w}_e^A"] \\
H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\widetilde{V}_p, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \arrow[r, "f^*_{|A}"] & H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\overline{A}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathbb{Q}_l(-1).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We conclude by the functoriality of the formation of vanishing cycles, i.e. the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\widetilde{V}, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) \arrow[d] & R^1\Gamma\left(j^* R\Psi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[r, "\beta^*"] \arrow[d] & R^1\Gamma\big(j^* R\Phi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\big) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Q}_l^{[J]}(-1) \arrow[d] \\
H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(\widetilde{V}_p, \mathbb{Q}_l\big) & R^1\Gamma\left(j_p^* R\Psi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\right) \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & R^1\Gamma\big(j_p^* R\Phi \mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{U}_\eta}\big) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathbb{Q}_l^{[I_e]}(-1).
\end{tikzcd}$$

☐

6 Balancing condition in terms of étale cohomology

We use the settings in Section 1. The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let σ denote the sum of weights as in (1.1), and let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ be the composition of $\mathcal{I}$ with the inclusion* $\operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big) \to \operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Z}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\big) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$. *Let $d_v = \dim D_{I_v}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(\sigma)$ lies in the image of the following map:*

$$\alpha_l : H^{2d_v-2}_{ét}\Big(D_{I_v} \times_{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{Spec} \widetilde{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l\Big)(d_v-1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\big(\mathbb{Q}_l^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Q}_l\big),$$

$$L \longmapsto \big(L \cdot L_j,\ j \in J\big).$$

We begin with a simple observation.

Lemma 6.2. *For any extension $k \subset K$ of non-Archimedean fields, if we apply extension of scalars to $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ and to the map $f : C \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$, the skeleton $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and the tropicalization C^t remains unchanged.*

We make a subdivision of C^t according to Proposition 5.1, and denote the subdivided tropical curve again by C^t. We choose a sufficiently small convex neighborhood V of v inside $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$ which does not contain any other vertex of C^t nor any point in $(\tau \circ f)(\partial C)$. We further require that V is of the form (4.1). This can be achieved by making some finite extension of our field k.

Lemma 6.3. *Let k' be a separably closed non-Archimedean field, C° a connected compact quasi-smooth k'-analytic curve, and let $b_1, \dots, b_m$ be the boundary points of C°. We assume that there are neighborhoods $A_1, \dots, A_m$ of $b_1, \dots, b_m$ which are pairwise disjoint and are isomorphic to annuli. Let $A = \coprod A_i$ and let ι_b denote the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow C^\circ$. Then the composition $\Sigma \circ \iota_b^*$*

$$H^1_{ét}(C^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1) \xrightarrow{\iota_b^*} H^1_{ét}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_l^m \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Q}_l$$

is zero, where Σ denotes the sum.

Proof. By gluing discs onto $b_1, \dots, b_m$, we embed C° into a proper smooth k'-analytic curve, denoted by $\widehat{C^\circ}$. Let $z_1, \dots, z_m$ be the centers of the discs, and $Z = \coprod_{i=1}^m z_i$. Now the lemma follows from the Gysin exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(\widehat{C^\circ}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(C^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1) \to H^0_{\text{ét}}(Z, \mathbb{Q}_l) \to H^2_{\text{ét}}(\widehat{C^\circ}, \mathbb{Q}_l)(1) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_l \to \cdots .$$

□

Let r be a positive real number and

$$V^r = \left\{\, x \in V \subset S_{\mathfrak{X}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \;\middle|\; \operatorname{dist}(x, V^c) > r \,\right\},$$

where dist denotes the standard Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and V^c denotes the complement of V in $S_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Put $V^b = V \setminus V^r$, $C^\circ = (\tau \circ f)^{-1}(V)$, $C^b = f^{-1}(V^b)$,

$\iota_b : C^b \hookrightarrow C^\circ$. We choose r sufficiently small, such that C^b does not contain any vertices of C^t. For each segment e in $C^t \cap V^b$, we choose the orientation of e to be the one that points away from v. The construction of tropical weights in Section 5 gives an element $\widetilde{w}_e \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(Z^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} Z\right)$, which induces a map $\widetilde{w}_e :$ $\operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathbb{Q}_l \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Q}_l^J\right) \to \mathbb{Q}_l$. Let σ be the sum of $\widetilde{w}_e$ over all segments e in $C^t \cap V^b$. By Proposition 5.6, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R^1\Gamma\left(j^* R\Psi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \arrow[r, "\beta^*"] \arrow[d, "\sim"] & R^1\Gamma\left(j^* R\Phi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathbb{Q}_l \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{Q}_l^J\right)(-1) \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
H^1_{\text{ét}}(\tau^{-1}(V) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r, "f^*_{|C^b}"] & H^1_{\text{ét}}(\overline{C^b}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \arrow[r, "\Sigma"] & \mathbb{Q}_l(-1)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.1}$$

where the bottom row factorizes as

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(\tau^{-1}(V) \times \widehat{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{f^*_{|C^\circ}} H^1_{\text{ét}}(\overline{C^\circ}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{\iota_b^*} H^1_{\text{ét}}(\overline{C^b}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Q}_l(-1).$$

By Lemma 6.3, $\Sigma \circ \iota_b^* = 0$. By the commutativity of (6.1), we have

$$\sigma \circ \beta^* = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

By (3.2), we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to R^1\Gamma(j^* R\Psi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} R^1\Gamma\left(j^* R\Phi\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_\eta}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} R^2\Gamma(j^*\mathbb{Q}_{l,\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{s}}}) \to \cdots. \tag{6.3}$$

By Corollary 3.2, the dual of α^* is the map α_l in Theorem 6.1. Combining (6.2) and the exactness of (6.3), we have proved that σ lies in the image of the map α_l.

7 From cohomological classes to algebraic cycles

The passage from Theorem 6.1 to Theorem 1.1 is a simple application of the standard conjecture on algebraic cycles, which is easy to prove in codimension one. More precisely, for divisors with rational coefficients in a projective variety, [Mat57][9] proved that numerical equivalence implies algebraic equivalence. So in particular, numerical equivalence implies homological equivalence.

Let us suppose that $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}}) \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbb{Q}^J \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Q}\right) \subset \mathbb{Q}^J$ is contained in a hyperplane $f = 0$. Let x_j, $j \in J$ be the coordinates on $\mathbb{Q}^J$ and write f as $\sum_{j\in J} a_j x_j$, for $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$. The fact that $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is contained in the hyperplane $f = 0$ implies that the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\sum_{j\in J} a_j L_j$ is numerically equivalent to 0, it is thus homologically equivalent to 0. Therefore, $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_l)$ is also contained in the hyperplane in $\mathbb{Q}_l^J$ defined by the same equation $f = 0$. To conclude, we have shown that $\operatorname{Im}\alpha_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \simeq \operatorname{Im}\alpha_l$. So we have deduced Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 6.1.

---

[9] Many thanks to Bruno Klingler for pointing out this reference to me.

References

[Ber93] Vladimir G. Berkovich. Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (78):5–161 (1994), 1993.

[Ber94] Vladimir G. Berkovich. Vanishing cycles for formal schemes. *Invent. Math.*, 115(3):539–571, 1994.

[Ber96] Vladimir G. Berkovich. Vanishing cycles for formal schemes. II. *Invent. Math.*, 125(2):367–390, 1996.

[Ber99] Vladimir G. Berkovich. Smooth p-adic analytic spaces are locally contractible. *Invent. Math.*, 137(1):1–84, 1999.

[Dat12] Jean-François Dat. A lemma on nearby cycles and its application to the tame Lubin-Tate space. *Math. Res. Let*, 19(1):1–9, 2012.

[Duc] Antoine Ducros. La structure des courbes analytiques. En cours de rédaction, date du 15/11/2012.

[Ill04] Luc Illusie. On semistable reduction and the calculation of nearby cycles. In *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II*, pages 785–803. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.

[Mat57] T. Matsusaka. The criteria for algebraic equivalence and the torsion group. *Amer. J. Math.*, 79:53–66, 1957.

[Mik06] Grigory Mikhalkin. Tropical geometry and its applications. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 827–852. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[SGA73] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 340. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II), Dirigé par P. Deligne et N. Katz.